

SECRET

DPD-3133-59

11 May 1959

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT : Concurrence in Amendment No. 12 to Contract No. PO-660 with Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, New York, LYRISN Project CHALICE - CORONA.

1. This memorandum contains a recommendation submitted for concurrence of the undersigned. Such recommendation is contained in Paragraph 5.

2. Contract No. PO-660 and Amendments Nos. 1 through 11 thereto, provide for the furnishing of all necessary labor and incidental materials required to operate and maintain the film processing plant at Rochester, New York from inception of the contract to 30 June 1959. Amendment No. 12 to the contract has been drawn to increase the amount of funds allocated to the contract and to revise the contract hourly rates.

3. The contract is a time-and-material type providing for performance of work and services on a fiscal year term basis. A total of \$3,345,000, consisting of \$175,000 of Fiscal Year 1956 CHALICE funds, \$1,100,000 of Fiscal Year 1957 CHALICE funds, \$1,170,000 of Fiscal Year 1958 CHALICE funds, and \$900,000 of Fiscal Year 1959 CHALICE funds have been obligated under the contract. An additional amount of \$146,000, consisting of \$111,000 of Fiscal Year 1959 CHALICE funds and \$35,000 of Fiscal Year 1959 CORONA funds, is obligated under the contract by this Amendment No. 12. This procurement action is authorized by Program Approvals CHAL-0617, dated 9 April 1959 and COR-0360 dated 3 March 1959. By concurrence to this memorandum the Comptroller signifies that sufficient funds are available for the additional obligations hereunder.

4. Certification of funds for this contract will be handled under the procedure approved by the Director of Central Intelligence on 15 December 1956 which, in effect, results in all 8(b) expenses involving issuance of Treasury Checks being accumulated in a separate account within the Finance Division. The amounts in this account will be periodically scheduled for certification of the vouchers by the Director. This procedure eliminates the necessity for a separate certification of authority under Section 8(b) of Public Law 110, 81st Congress, for each contract.

25 YEAR RE-REVIEW

SECRET

5. Concurrence in Amendment No. 12 to Contract No. PO-660 is recommended.

Signed
[Redacted]
Contracting Officer, DPD

25X1

CONCURRENCES:

[Redacted]
Acting Comptroller, DPD

25X1

[Redacted]
Chief, Materiel, DPD

25X1

[Redacted]
Chief, R&D, DPD

25X1

Signed
[Redacted], COL.
Chief, Operations, DPD

25X1

Signed
[Redacted]
Office of General Counsel

25X1

DPD-DD/P [Redacted]:arp
Distr.
1-PO-660
2-Finance
✓ 3-Chromo

25X1